Exhibit D

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION 3 4 FRED L. NANCE, JR., 5 Plaintiff. 6 No. 1:20-cv-06316 ٧S EMAGES, INC., and HATTIE WASH, 7 8 Defendants. 9 10 The DEPOSITION of FRED L. NANCE, JR., 11 **Ph.D.**, called by the Defendants for examination, taken 12 pursuant to notice and pursuant to the Rules of the Code 13 of Civil Procedure for the United States District Courts 14 pertaining to the taking of depositions, taken before 15 CYNTHIA M. STIFTER, Certified Shorthand Reporter and 16 Notary Public within and for the County of Cook and 17 State of Illinois, at 111 East Wacker Drive, Suite 2600, 18 Chicago, Illinois, on Monday, the 8th day of May, A.D., 19 2023, at 10:20 o'clock a.m. 20 21 22 23 24

1 (Witness duly affirmed.) 2 3 FRED L. NANCE, JR., Ph.D., called as a witness herein, having first duly affirmed, 4 5 was examined and testified as follows: 6 7 **EXAMINATION** 8 BY 9 MR. DECKER: 10 11 Good morning. Could you, please, state your Ο. 12 name, and spell your last name for the record? 13 Dr. Fred L. Nance, Junior, N-a-n-c-e. Α. 14 Good morning, Dr. Nance. Today we're here for Q. 15 your deposition in the case you filed against EMAGES and 16 Dr. Hattie Wash. Have you ever been deposed before? 17 Α. Yes. 18 Q. How many times approximately? 19 Α. It's not relevant to this case. 20 Dr. Nance, so today relevance objections are Q. 21

Q. Dr. Nance, so today relevance objections are not a valid basis to object. You can object for the purposes of the record, but I'm still going to ask that you answer the question.

A. I object.

22

23

- Q. Approximately how many times have you been deposed before?
 - A. I object.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Q. Are you refusing to answer the question?
- A. I object. I can't recall.
 - Q. Are you refusing?
- A. I can't recall. I'm not going to answer it the way you want me to answer it. That's not how this is going to happen today.
- 10 Q. Dr. Nance --
- 11 A. I can't recall. That's it.
- 12 Q. I'm asking you how many times you've ***
 13 been deposed before?
- 14 A. I can't recall.
- Q. Can you actually not recall, or are you refusing to answer?
- 17 A. What did I say?
- 18 Q. Dr. Nance --
- 19 A. What did I say? I can't recall.
- Q. Dr. Nance, this is my opportunity to ask you questions.
- A. And it's my opportunity to answer.
- Q. Correct. And you just took an oath to tell
 the truth and nothing but the truth.

A. I can't recall.

- Q. If you're refusing to answer, I would like to call Magistrate Judge Jantz right now.
- A. I don't care. I can't recall. You're not going to get -- I'm not answering the way you want me to answer. That's not getting ready to happen.
- Q. Dr. Nance, this is a very basic, introductory question I ask of every single witness.
- A. That's a basic answer, and that's the answer you're going to get for the rest of the day if it doesn't pertain to this (indicating).
- MR. DECKER: All right. Cindy, can you mark that question for the record, please?

 BY MR. DECKER:
- Q. Dr. Nance, if this continues, though, we're going to have to stop and call Magistrate Judge Jantz.
- A. I don't care what you do. I don't about you calling no judge. You know what, they're corrupt anyway, all of them. I'm writing a book about it now.
- I don't care about the rules and stuff that they make. I understand that I got to follow it.

 I can't recall. Why don't you go talk to Trump in there and see about their answers, and the Republican Senate, and the Republicans, period. Why don't you go ask them

about that? I can't recall.

MR. DECKER: All right. Can we go off the record for a second, Cindy?

(A discussion was had off the record.)

MR. DECKER: Back on the record, Cindy.

So just to clarify the record, we just called Judge Jantz's chambers. She is not going to intervene at this time, but her clerk informed us that we could file a motion if we run into further issues as far as answering depositions (sic) are concerned.

14 BY MR. DECKER:

- Q. So, Dr. Nance, just to clarify the record, are you refusing, or can you not recall how many times you've been deposed before?
 - A. I can't recall.
- Q. Have you been a party to a federal or state lawsuit before?
- A. I can't recall. The only thing I'm talking about is this (indicating). I'm not talking about your formalities and all that. The only thing I'm here for is to talk about my complaint, period. Not my history;

not my birth date; not my sex; nothing; only this complaint. So you can ask your questions, and I don't recall.

- Q. Dr. Nance, I know you're an experienced litigator at this point. That is not how depositions work. I ask the questions, and you can object for the record, but I still need you to answer them.
- A. Go ahead. I'm going to object, though. I'm going to say I don't recall if it doesn't pertain to this (indicating).
- Q. Do you have any other currently pending lawsuits right now?
 - A. I object.

- Q. You can object, but I'd still need you to answer.
- A. I don't recall. I did the same thing -- wait a minute. You just told me I can object, or I don't recall. It ain't going to be both ways. You're not going to have it both ways. You're only going to have it one.
- Q. No, no, no. Dr. Nance, so during a deposition, formal objections can be made, but unless it's on the basis --
- A. It's formal to me. Formal to who? File your

motion. Formal to who? And we'll answer it in writing with the ascribed law that applies to it.

- Q. Dr. Nance, so just for the record, unless any of my questions do not directly relate to what is alleged in your complaint, you are going to not answer them today; is that correct?
 - A. I'm not going to answer that question.
- Q. You won't answer the question about whether or not you will answer the question?
- A. I've got a mental health degree. Don't throw that aside. I know what you're doing. I'm not going to answer no circling questions. You got my answer.

 That's it. I don't care which way you try to couch it.
 - Q. You just said you wouldn't answer.
- A. I don't care which way you try to couch it, and how you want to interpret my language. File your motion, and we'll do it that way in writing.
- Q. Dr. Nance, if this is going to go on all day, I think we should probably just terminate the deposition right now, and bring this issue to Judge Jantz through a motion.
- A. You can do what you want to do. I'm not going to tell you which way to go. I'm going to answer questions according to what this is (indicating); what's

- written here in my document. And it's voluminous. I

 got -- everything I said in this complaint is true.

 It's facts. That's what I'm going to respond to. I'm

 not going to respond to my personal life, the things

 that have happened in my life. I'm not doing that. Now

 take that wherever you want to take it.
 - Q. Dr. Nance, I am not trying to dig into your personal life.
 - A. Yes, you are.

- Q. No, I am not.
- A. Yes, you are. You're asking me questions that don't pertain to this (indicating).
- Q. So my understanding is that anything that doesn't relate to your complaint is considered your personal life?
 - A. That's right.
 - Q. I'm asking about your litigation history.
- A. You're asking about my personal life, just as if you had asked me for my driver's license. I was already here to tell you no, you ain't getting that.
 - Q. I'm not asking for your driver's license.
- A. Okay. I'm just letting you know where this is going. I'm only going to respond to what's in this complaint. Now bring your motion, and let's deal with

- it legally. Let's do it in writing, so I have it on
 that record; not this record (indicating). I want to
 have it on that record. You're not going to make me or
 try to persuade me to answer questions that you want me
 to answer to try to negate what I have written in my
 complaint.
 - Q. Dr. Nance, that's exactly the point of the deposition.
 - A. I know.
 - Q. Your complaint --
- 11 A. I know.

8

9

10

- Q. Your complaint is merely allegations at this point.
- 14 A. Okay.
- Q. You need to provide actual evidence, and as
- A. And I did. I gave you -- I answered your production of documents and everything else.
 - Q. Correct. But --
- 20 A. Okay. Let's talk about that.
- Q. Dr. Nance, that's another thing, we can't be speaking over one another during the deposition.
- 23 A. Okay.
- Q. Because our court reporter needs to take

everything down, and it's very difficult for her to take --

A. You're correct.

- Q. -- simultaneous talk down.
- A. Okay. My bad.
- Q. Right. But this is my opportunity to ask you questions in order to figure out whether the allegations in your complaint are actually what you claim them to be.
 - A. Okay. Let's talk about my complaint then.
 - Q. Sure.
- A. That has -- what happened in my life has nothing to do with my complaint. That's my language, and that's the language I'm going to use in any motion you file. What happened in my personal life has nothing to do with this complaint, besides the things that happened in this complaint. Because that's my personal life, and you have no right to that. The court has no right to that. And I'll fight them all the way to the Supreme Court for it.
- Q. Would you consider personal health conditions to be your personal life?
 - A. That's right.
 - Q. Would you look in the complaint and see

- whether or not you've alleged any issues with your personal health that have arose after the alleged retaliatory discharge?
- A. No, I won't have a problem -- if it's in this complaint, I will talk about it. If it's in this complaint.
- Q. What about Answers to Interrogatories that you filed in this action?
 - A. I'll answer those according to what they are.
- Q. Are there any other areas of inquiry ***
 you'd refuse to answer?
- A. Sir, let's go. Continue with your questions.

 That's an irrelevant question that you're asking because we aren't there.
- Q. Again, relevancy isn't a basis to object today.
- A. Well, you can say what you want to say.

 That's what I'm going to do. If it isn't relevant, I'm not going to do it.
 - MR. DECKER: All right. Again, I'd just ask you to certify this for the record, Cindy.
- 22 BY MR. DECKER:

Q. And to try and get things going today, would you at least answer questions about your history with

EMAGES, even if they predate the 2018 grant?

- A. No. We're going to talk about this (indicating). And that's in here, too. That's in my original complaint.
 - Q. Okay. So --

A. So you can ask whatever is in my original complaint that involves the Department of Justice, because that's how I started with EMAGES, even though the judge dismissed that in June of whatever year that was -- 2018. That's a recall issue; ain't it?

Anyway, and then this amended complaint that I filed when the judge said I can file it just against EMAGES. You can ask any questions in there because all I'm going to repeat is what's here (indicating). So let me know what page it's on when you're asking it to me, so I can go to it and see what you're saying.

- Q. Are you going to refuse to answer questions about the exhibits I've included?
- A. Ask your question, sir, and we're going to see.
- Q. Well, Dr. Nance, that's the thing. So you can only include so much in the complaint, but then documents that are produced in a lawsuit also become

- evidence. Answers to Interrogatories also become evidence. This is directly related to what's in your complaint.
 - A. Well, let's see.
- Q. All right. So when did you and Dr. Wash make the decision that you were going to apply for the 2008 Second Chance Act grant that is the issue of this lawsuit?
- A. 2018, maybe January, something like that, 2018.
 - Q. Had you worked with EMAGES previously?
- 12 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- Q. On how many occasions?
- 14 A. I've been working with EMAGES since 2001.
- Q. And approximately how many grants had been awarded to EMAGES throughout the time that you were working with them?
- 18 A. I don't have any idea.
- Q. How many grants had you personally worked on when you were also collaborating with EMAGES?
 - A. Two.
- Q. Two? When was the first occasion?
- 23 A. 2010.
- Q. And what was the subject of that grant, or

- what services were you providing pursuant to it?
- 2 A. Mentoring with sex offenders.
 - Q. So similar to what the 2018 grant was?
- 4 A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

19

20

- Q. Why did you decide to work with EMAGES specifically?
 - A. Because I was working with the sex offenders.
- Q. Could you have worked with other nonprofit organizations?
- 10 A. Possibly.
- Q. And are you currently employed by C.L.I.C.K.
 Services NFP?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And are you also the CEO of that entity?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Was C.L.I.C.K. Services formed in the 2010 time frame?
- 18 A. Yes.
 - Q. Was there a decision that you made to collaborate with EMAGES as opposed to seeking to just have the grant issued to C.L.I.C.K.?
- A. Yeah, I collaborated with EMAGES because we were working with the sex offenders, and she -- and Dr. Wash had the sex offenders program.

- Q. Could you not have done that directly through your own entity, C.L.I.C.K., though?
- A. I wasn't working with the sex offenders. I helped write the Second Chance Act bill that President Bush signed in -- April 10, 2008, I think, 2009, maybe. So I know what's in that legislation, and so I decided to work with EMAGES because it was a better route than going through my agency.
- Q. Could you have done so independently, though, if you chose to apply for the grant?
 - A. Working with sex offenders?
 - Q. Yes.

- A. Spell that question out.
- Q. Sure. And that's also perfectly fine. If at any point today, you don't understand a question --
 - A. I understand.
- Q. If you don't understand a question --
- A. No, no, no. Wait a minute. Excuse me, sir. Excuse me. Let me interrupt a second, so we can be real clear. I really -- just like my complaint, I deal with cultural issues, and us -- and me understanding your culture, and you understanding mine.
- So be very careful about the questions you ask me that are cultural, racial, or any of that

because I will definitely address that. It ain't personal. But sometimes other cultures don't understand the language of some cultures, and they say things that are offensive; may not know that they're offensive, but they are. So I just want to say that as you ask me these questions.

- Q. And I appreciate that. All I was trying to say is if you don't understand a question that I ask -- I ask plenty of bad questions in depositions all the time. Please just let me know that. I'll try and rephrase it. But if you answer a question after I ask you one, I'm going to assume that you understood it; is that fair?
 - A. Okay.

- Q. Okay. That's all I was trying to get across.
- A. Okay.
- Q. So my question had to deal with if you chose to apply for a federal grant to work with sex offenders independently through your entity C.L.I.C.K., could you have done so?
- A. What does that matter? How does that matter? No, no, how does that matter? It doesn't matter.
- Q. Dr. Nance, I'm not being deposed today. So I ask the questions. You can let me know if you don't

1 understand them.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

21

- 2 A. I object to the question.
- Q. Yes, you can object, but you still have to answer.
 - A. I object to the question. I don't have to answer if I object to it. Are you kidding me? Take it to court. Let's put it in writing, man. I'm not doing that.
 - Q. Dr. Nance --
 - A. This ain't my rodeo -- this ain't my first rodeo.
 - Q. Trust me, I know. And actually now that you say this isn't your first rodeo, you can't recall how many lawsuits you've been involved with previously?
 - A. No, because it ain't my first rodeo. I've been in a whole lot of them.
 - Q. Okay. So now you can remember?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. You couldn't recall previously, though?
- 20 A. That wasn't the same thing.
 - Q. And now you've been in a lot of them?
- A. It wasn't the same thing. I've been in a lot of rodeos.
 - Q. Now you're talking about actual rodeos?

- A. What rodeos are we talking about? Are we talking about horses? Are we talking about trains?

 What are we talking about here? You can keep on with your trick questions because I got trick statements for you, too.
 - Q. Dr. Nance, you told me off the record that this is just business.
 - A. I'm through talking about that.
 - Q. You're making it personal right now.
- A. Of course.
- 11 Q. Why?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

20

- 12 A. When you attack me, that's what I'm going to do.
- 14 Q. I am not attacking you.
 - A. Yes, you are. That's your perception. That's your cultural issue.
 - Q. Dr. Nance, you recognize that you are the Plaintiff in a federal lawsuit that is seeking \$500,000 in damages from my clients; is that correct?
 - A. I object because it's a trick question.
 - Q. That is in your complaint.
- A. Okay. If it's in my complaint, why are you asking me?
- Q. Because apparently that's all I can ask you

1	about today.
2	A. Okay.
3	Q. Is that correct?
4	A. I object.
5	Q. All right.
6	A. Ask the question like it is on the paperwork.
7	Q. Dr. Nance, this is not how a deposition is
8	going. If this is how it's going to go the rest of the
9	day, I'd rather just not waste our time.
10	A. Don't waste my time. Don't waste yours. Do
11	what you got to do.
12	Q. So we're calling to call it then.
13	A. Yeah, I don't care what you do.
14	MR. DECKER: So, Cindy, I'd like to have all of
15	those issues certified, and we'll bring this through
16	motion practice with Judge Jantz.
17	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
18	MR. DECKER: And we'll terminate.
19	
20	(The deposition was
21	terminated sine die.)
22	
23	
24	

STATE OF ILLINOIS)) SS: COUNTY OF C O O K)
CVNTUTA M STIFTED being finet duly
CYNTHIA M. STIFTER, being first duly
sworn, on oath says that she is a Certified Shorthand
Reporter, that she reported in shorthand the proceedings
at the said terminated deposition, and that the
foregoing is a true and correct transcript of her
shorthand notes so taken as aforesaid.
0
Certified Shorthand Reporter
Notary Public Cook County, Illinois
C.S.R. License No. 084-003054

	 6 	alleged 9:5; 13:1,2 already 10:	Avenue 2:8
000 20:18	60473-3436 2:9	20 amended 14:	 B
22:22	60601 2:23 	11 another 11:	
1	7	21,22	bad 12:5;
1 3:25	7 3 : 9	answer 4:23; 5:4,7,8,16,	18:9 basic 6:7,9
10 3:27; 17: 5	708 2:10	22; 6:2,6, 9; 8:7,15;	basis 4:21; 8:23; 13:15
10:20 1:28	8	9:1,5,7,8,	become 14:
111 1:26; 2: 21	 8th 1:27	9,12,14,23; 11:4,5; 13:	24; 15:1 behalf 2:28
12 3:25		9,11,24;	besides 12:
13 3:27 17239 2:8	9 	14:18; 18: 11; 19:4,6	16
1:20-cv- 06316 1:11	921-1395 2: 10	answered 11:	bill 17:4 birth 8:1
		answering 6:	book 6:19
2	A	5; 7:13 answers 6:	both 8:18,19 bring 9:20;
2 3:27	A.D. 1:27	23; 13:7;	10:24; 21:
2001 15:14 2008 15:6;	a.m 1:28 according 9:	15:1 anyway 6:19;	15 Bush 17:5
17:5	24; 13:9	14:11	business 20: 7
2009 17:5 2010 15:23;	across 18:15 Act 15:7;	apparently 20:24	
16:17 2018 14:1,	17:4 action 13:8	Appeared 2: 14,28	C
10; 15:9,	actual 11:	applies 9:2	C.L.I.C.K.
10; 16:3 2023 1:28	15; 19:24 actually 5:	apply 15:6; 17:10; 18:	16:11,16, 21; 17:2;
2600 1:26;	15; 12:8;	18	18:19
2:22	19:12 ADAM 2:20	appreciate 18:7	call 6:3,16; 21:12
3	address 18:1 adecker 2:25		called 1:20; 4:4; 7:10
312 2:24	affirmed 4:	y 4:18; 5: 1; 15:15	calling 6:
4	1,4 aforesaid	April 17:5 are. 10:11	18; 21:12 care 6:4,17,
·	22:11	areas 13:10	20; 9:13,
4 3:9	agency 17:8 ahead 8:8	aren't 13:14 arose 13:2	15; 21:13 careful 17:
5	ain't 8:18; 10:20; 14:	ascribed 9:2 aside 9:11	23 case 4:15,19
5 3:25	10; 18:1;	assume 18:12	CEO 16:14
500 20:18 527-4000 2:	19:10,15 allegations	attack 20:12 attacking	Certified 1: 24; 21:15;
24	11:12; 12:7	20:14	22:7,19

certify 13:	10:14	14; 7:2,8,	down 12:1,4
i 21 i	Continue 13:	14; 13:20,	Drive 1:26;
chambers. 7:	12	22; 21:14,	2:21
1 10	continues 6:	18	driver's 10:
Chance 15:7;	15	Decker. 3:9	19,21
17:4	Cook 1:25;	Defendants	duly 4:1,4;
Chicago 1:	22:21	1:15,20; 2:	22:6
27; 2:23	Correct 5:	1.13,20, 2.	·
· ·			during 8:21;
chose 17:10;	23; 9:6;	definitely	11:22
18:17	11:19; 12:	18:1	
Cindy 6:12;	3; 20:19;	degree 9:10	E
7:3,8; 13:	21:3; 22:10	Department	
21; 21:14	corrupt 6:18	14:7	East 1:26;
circling 9:	couch 9:13,	deposed 4:	2:21
12	15	16; 5:2,13;	EASTERN 1:4
Civil 1:22	couldn't 19:	7:17; 18:23	EMAGES 1:13;
claim 12:8	19	DEPOSITION	4:15; 14:1,
clarify 7:9,	County 1:25;	1:19; 4:15;	8,13; 15:
15	22:3,21	8:22; 9:19;	11,14,16,
clear 17:20	course 20:10	11:8,22;	20; 16:5,
clerk 7:11	Courts 1:22	21:7,20;	20,22; 17:7
clickservice	cultural 17:	22:9	employed 16:
s.org 2:11	21,24; 20:	depositions	i 11 i
clients 20:	16	1:23; 7:13;	entity 16:
19	culture 17:	8:5; 18:9	14; 17:2;
Code 1:21	22	die. 21:21	18:19
collaborate	cultures 18:	difficult	Evans 2:8
16:20	2,3	12:1	even 14:1,8
collaborated	currently 8:	dig 10:7	everything
16:22	11; 16:11	directly 9:	10:2; 11:
collaboratin	CYNTHIA 1:	4; 15:2;	18; 12:1
			evidence 11:
g 15:20	24; 2:36;	17:1	
complaint 7:	22:6	discharge	15; 15:1,2
24; 8:2; 9:		13:3	exactly 11:7
5; 10:2,14,	D .	discussion	examination
24; 11:6,		7:5	1:20; 3:9;
10,12; 12:	damages 20:	dismissed	4:7
8,10,13,16,	19	14:9	examined 4:5
17,24; 13:	date 8:1	DISTRICT 1:	Excuse 17:
5,6; 14:4,	day 1:27; 6:	2,3,22	18,19
7,11,23;	10; 9:18;	DIVISION 1:4	exhibits 3:
15:3; 17:	21:9	do. 21:11	39; 14:19
20; 20:21,	deal 10:24;	document 10:	experienced
22	17:20; 18:	1	8:4
concerned 7:	17	documents	
13	decide 16:5	11:18; 14:	F
conditions	decided 17:6	24	
12:21	decision 15:	doing 9:11;	facts 10:3
consider 12:	6; 16:19	10:5; 19:7	fair 18:13
consider 12: 21	6; 16:19 DECKER 2:20;	10:5; 19:7 done 17:1,9;	fair 18:13 far 7:13

	19; 18:18;	6:6	introductory	15:8; 20:18
	20:18	happened 10:	6 : 7	lawsuits 8:
		5; 12:12,	involved 19:	
	figure 12:7	15,17	14	least 13:24
	file 7:12;	HATTIE 1:13;	involves 14:	legally 11:1
	8:24; 9:16;	4:16	7	legislation
	12:15; 14:	health 9:10;	irrelevant	17:6
	12	12:21; 13:2	13:13	letting 10:
	filed 4:15;	helped 17:4	isn't 13:15,	22
	13:8; 14:12	herein 4:4	18; 19:13	license 10:
	fine 17:14	history 7:	issue 9:20;	19,21; 22:
	first 4:4;	24; 10:17;	14:10; 15:	22
	15:22; 19: 10,13,15;	13:24	7; 20:16	life 10:4,5,
1	22:6	Holland 2:9 HOLLISTER 2:	issued 16:21 issues 7:12;	8,15,18; 12:12,15,
1	follow 6:21	19	13:1; 17:	18,22
1	follows 4:5	horses 20:2	21; 21:15	LINE 3:6,22
	foregoing		ZI, ZI.IJ	litigation
i	22:10	l I	J	10:17
i	formal 8:22,			litigator 8:
i	24; 9:1	idea 15:18	Jantz 6:3,	5
	formalities	ILLINOIS 1:	16; 9:20;	LLP 2:19
	7:23	3,26,27; 2:	21:16	look 12:24
	formed 16:16	9,23; 22:1,	Jantz's 7:10	lot 19:16,
	frame 16:17	21	January 15:9	21,22
	FRED 1:7,19;	INC. 1:13	JR. 1:7,19;	
	2:7; 4:3,13	include 14:	2:7; 4:3	M
	frednance 2:	23	Judge 6:3,	
	11	included 14:	16,18; 7:	made 8:22;
	further 7:12	19	10; 9:20;	16:19
1	G	independentl y 17:9; 18:	14:9,12; 21:16	Magistrate
1		19	June 14:9	man 19:7
	gave 11:17	indicating	Junior 4:13	many 4:18;
i	getting 6:6;	6:11; 7:22;	Justice 14:7	5:1,12; 7:
i	10:20	8:10; 9:24;		16; 15:13,
İ	got 6:21; 9:	10:12; 11:	K	15,19; 19:
	10,12; 10:	2; 14:3,15		14
	2; 20:4;	informed 7:	keep 20:3	mark 6:12
	21:11	11	kidding 19:6	marked. 3:39
	grant 14:1;	inquiry 13:	know. 11:9	matter 18:
	15:7,24;	10		21,22
	16:3,21;	interpret 9:	L	matter. 18:
-	17:10; 18:	16	1	22
	18	Interrogator	language 9:	me. 17:19
1	grants 15:	ies 13:7; 15:1	16; 12:13,	mental 9:10 Mentoring
1	15 , 19	15:1 interrupt	14; 18:3 last 4:12	Mentoring 16:2
1	Н	17 : 19	last 4:12	merely 11:12
1		intervene 7:	lawsuit 7:	mine 17:22
i	happen 5:9;	11	20; 14:24;	minute 8:17;
			•	· '

oath 5:23; 22:7 object 4:21, 24; 5:3,5; 8:6,8,13,	out 12:7; 17:13 over 11:22 own 17:2	previously 15:11; 19: 14,19
object 4:21, 24; 5:3,5;	over 11:22	14,19
24; 5:3,5;		
		pro 2:14
0.0,0,10,		probably 9:
14,17; 13:		probably 9.
15; 19:2,3,	Г 	problem 13:4
5,6; 20:20;	PAGE 3:6,22;	Procedure 1:
		Procedure 1:
	'	22 proceedings
_		22:8
•	•	produced 14:
22	pending 8:11	24
occasions	perception	production
15 : 13	20:15	11:18
offenders	perfectly	program 16:
16:2,7,23,	17:14	24
24; 17:3,	period 7:24	provide 11:
•	period. 6:24	15
	-	providing
		16:1
<u> </u>		Public 1:25;
		22:20
		purposes 4:
		22
		pursuant 1:
		21; 16:1
	persuade 11:	put 19:7
	4	
		Q
		question 4:
	_	23; 5:4; 6:
	'	8,13; 9:7,
_		8,9; 13:13;
		14:20; 17:
		13,15,17;
		18:8,11,17;
		19:2,5; 20:
		20; 21:6
		questions 5:
		21; 8:2,6;
		9:4,12,24;
	•	10:11; 11:
	_	4; 12:7;
	•	13:12,24;
_	=	14:13,18;
	•	17:23; 18:
	=	6,9,24; 20:
13:10; 16: 8; 18:2	President 17:4	4
	21:4 objections 4:20; 8:22 occasion 15: 22 occasions 15:13 offenders 16:2,7,23, 24; 17:3, 11; 18:18 offensive 18:4 Okay 11:14, 23; 12:5, 10; 18:14, 16; 20:22; 21:2 Okay. 10:22; 11:20; 14: 5; 16:16; 18:15; 19: 17 one 8:20; 11:22; 18: 12 only 7:21, 23; 8:1,19; 10:23; 14:	21:4 14:15 paperwork 4:20; 8:22 21:6 party 7:19 22 pending 8:11 occasions perception 15:13 20:15 perfectly 16:2,7,23, 17:14 24; 17:3, period 7:24 11; 18:18 period. 6:24 offensive personal 10: 18:4 4,8,15,18; okay 11:14, 12:15,17, 23; 12:5, 21,22; 13: 10; 18:14, 2; 18:2; 16; 20:22; 20:9 21:2 personally okay. 10:22; 15:19 11:20; 14: persuade 11: 5; 16:16; 4 18:15; 19: pertain 6: 17 11; 8:9; one 8:20; 10:12 11:22; 18: pertaining 12 1:23 only 7:21, Ph.D. 1:20; 23; 8:1,19; 2:7; 4:3 10:23; 14: Plaintiff 1: 23 opportunity please 4:11; 5:20,22; 6:13; 18:10 plenty 18:9 opposed 16: point 8:5; 20 11:7,13; order 12:7 organization Possibly 16: s 16:9 original 14: practice 21: 4,6 other 8:11; predate 14:1

l R	6:24	Sir 13:12;	5
	respond 10:	14:20	that. 20:8
racial 17 : 24	3,4,23	sir. 17:18	them. 19:1
rather 21:9	rest 6:10;	something	thing 7:21,
ready 6:6	21:8	15:9	23; 8:16;
real 17:19	retaliatory	sometimes	11:21; 14:
really 17:20	13:3	18:2	22; 19:20,
\mid recall 5:5,	right. 7:2	South 2:9	22
7,11,14,15;	rodeo 19:10,	speaking 11:	things 10:4;
6:1,22; 7:	11,13	22	12:16; 13:
1,16,18,21;	rodeo. 19:15	specifically	23; 18:3
8:3,9,16,	rodeos 19:	16:6	though 6:15;
18; 14:10;	23,24; 20:1	spell 4:12;	8:8; 14:8;
19:13,19	route 17:7	17:13	17:2,9; 19:
recall. 5:	Rules 1:21;	SS 22:2	19
19; 6:4	6:20	started 14:8	throughout
recognize	run 7:12	State 1:26;	15:16
20:17		4:11; 7:19;	throw 9:10
record 4:12,	S I	22:1	Today 4:14,
22; 6:13;	0 16	statements	20; 5:9; 9:
7:3,6,8,9,	same 8:16;	20:4	6; 13:16,
15; 8:7; 9:	19:20,22	STATES 1:2,	23; 17:15;
3; 11:2,3;	saying 14:17	22	18:23; 21:1
13:21; 20:6	says 22:7	STETTINIUS	took 5:23
refuse 13:	se 2:14	2:19 STIFTER 1:	trains 20:2
11; 14:18 refusing 5:	second 7:3;	24; 2:36;	transcript 22:10
4,6,16; 6:	13:7; 17:4;	22:6	trick 20:4,
2; 7:16	see 6:23;	still 4:22;	20
relate 9:4;	12:24; 14:	8:7,14; 19:	true 10:2;
10:14	16,21; 15:4	3	22:10
related 15:2	seeking 16:	stop 6:16	Trump 6:22
relevance 4:	20; 20:18	stuff 6:20	Trust 19:12
20	Senate 6:23	subject 15:	truth 5:24
relevancy	services 16:	24	truth. 5:24
13:15	1,12,16	Suite 1:26;	try 9:13,15;
relevant 4:	sex 8:1; 16:	2:22	11:4,5; 13:
19; 13:18	2,7,23,24;	Supreme 12:	23; 18:10
remember 19:	17:3,11;	20	trying 10:7;
17	18:18	sworn 22:7	18:7,15
repeat 14:14	Shorthand 1:		Two 15:21,22
rephrase 18:	24; 22:7,8,	T	
11	11,19		U I
REPORTED 2:	sic 7:13	TAFT 2:19	
36; 22:8	signed 17:5	taftlaw.com	understand
Reporter 1:	similar 16:3	2:25	6:21; 17:
24; 11:24;	simultaneous	terminate 9:	15,16,17;
22:8,19	12:4	19; 21:18	18:2,8; 19:
Republican	since 15:14	terminated	
6:23	sine 21:21	21:21; 22:9	understandin
Republicans	single 6:8	testified 4:	g 10:13;

17:21,22	14,17; 16:		1
	7,23; 17:3,		
18:12	i 11	i	
UNITED 1:2,	•		
22	writing 6:		1
unless 8:22;	19; 9:1,17;		1
9:3	11:1; 19:7		1
	written 10:		1
V	1; 11:5		1
			1
valid 4:21	Y		1
voluminous			
10:1	year 14:9		
vs 1:11	l year rr.s		1
			1
l W	 	1	1
Wacker 1:26;	! 		
2:21	 		1
wait 8:16;			1
17:18			1
WASH 1:13;	 	1	1
4:16; 15:5;	 		1
16:24	 		1
waste 21:9,	1	1	
10	1	1	
way 5:8; 6:	1	1	
5; 9:13,15,	1	1	1
17,23; 12:	1	1	
19	 		
ways 8:18	 		
ways 0:10			1
whatever 14:	 	1	1
6,9	 		1
wherever 10:			1
6	 		1
whether 9:8;			1
12:7; 13:1			1
whole 19:16			i I
will 9:9;			i I
13:5; 18:1	' 		
within 1:25	1		1
Witness 4:1,	1		1
4; 6:8; 21:			'
17 0.0, 21.			'
work 8:6;			'
16:5; 17:7;			
18:18	! 		
worked 15:	! 		
11,19; 16:8			
working 15:	! 		1
I MOTESTIA TO.	I	I	I .